

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,124	TATSUTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 21 August 2003
 2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 24-26
 3. ☒ The drawings filed on 28 February 2002 are accepted by the Examiner.
 4. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * Certified copies not received: _____.

5. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 (a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

6. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

7. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.

8. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted.
 (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No. _____.
 (b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed _____, which has been approved by the Examiner.
 (c) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet.

9. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. _____. 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. _____. 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
---	---

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is made of Amendment filed 21 August 2003.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Douglas Holtz on 23 October 2003.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Re claims 27-28: Please cancel claims 27-28 without traverse and/or prejudice.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 24-26 are allowed.
4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest an optical code reader including, among other things, a slit guide section configured to specify a positional relationship between the image pickup section and the code wherein the code detection section is configured to set a code detection area in an image pickup screen obtained by the image pickup section whereby the code detection area detects at least part of the code from inside of the code detection area and thereafter, the code detection section sets a second code detection area used to detect the latter part of the code that is narrower than a first code detection area, etc.

Nagasaki et al. (US 5,896,403; hereinafter "Nagasaki") discloses a code reader to read a code from a data recording medium, which records data as an optically readable code and is provided with a non-interference area around the code to prevent presence of only an interference image having an attribute causing an error during reading of the code, the code reader comprising an image pickup section 44D to pickup the code; a guide section configured to specify positional relationship between the image pickup section and the code; a code detection section 44 to set a code detection area in an image pickup screen obtained in the image pickup section and detect at least part of the code from the inside thereof; and a restoration section to specify the code from the image pickup screen based on a detection position of at least part of the code detected in the code detection section and restore data recorded in the code. However, Nagasaki fails to teach an optical code reader including, among other things, a slit guide section configured to specify a positional relationship between the image pickup section and the code wherein the code detection section is configured to set a code detection area in an image pickup screen obtained by the image pickup section whereby the code detection area detects at least part of the code from inside of the code detection area and thereafter, the code detection section sets a second code detection area used to detect the latter part of the code that is narrower than a first code detection area, etc.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-8792.

Kimberly Nguyen

KDN
24 October 2003


MICHAEL G. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800